



"Leigh Levine"  
<Leigh.Levine@igate.f  
hwa.dot.gov>

To: <Muhaned\_Aljabiry@dot.ca.gov>  
cc: <Kris\_Balaji@dot.ca.gov>  
Subject: Re: COFCG FTIP Amendment No. 5

01/16/2003 01:02 PM

Thanks Muhaned, FYI, as this is for information only, no action is required on our part. Any questions, let me know.

Leigh Levine  
Transportation Planner  
FHWA, CA Division  
(916) 498-5034

>>> <Muhaned\_Aljabiry@dot.ca.gov> 01/15/03 05:01PM >>>  
Hi Leigh,

We are aware that the project was programmed in "prior" years to the current triennial FTIP cycle. We are also aware that the funds have already been obligated and agree that an amendment is redundant. Since this project is CMAQ funded, its amendment was reviewed and approved for change in scope from CNG powered to diesel powered sweepers to demonstrate that the project still produces emission benefits. State's approval letter indicates that projects included in this FTIP in fiscal years not within the three-year cycle of the current FSTIP are not approved as part of these FTIP Amendments and are shown for information only. Therefore, State approval of amendment #5 for change in scope is for informational purpose only.

Please call me if you have more questions or need additional information.  
Thank you

Muhaned Aljabiry  
Federal Transportation Management Program  
(916) 654-3521 ATSS 8-464-3521

Abhijit Bagde

Aljabiry/HQ/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT  
01/14/2003  
03:04 PM

To: Muhaned  
cc:  
Subject: COFCG FTIP Amendment No.

5

Muhaned,

Please review and respond the following response from FHWA regarding the above amendment. Leigh can be reached at 498-5034.  
Thanks.

Abhijit J. Bagde  
Division of Transportation Programming  
Office of Federal Trans. Mgmt. Program  
(916) 654-3638  
CALNET 464-3638  
FAX: (916) 654-2738

----- Forwarded by Abhijit Bagde/HQ/Caltrans/CAGov on 01/14/2003 03:00 PM

-----

"Leigh Levine"  
<Leigh.Levine@igate.fhw  
<Abhijit\_Bagde@dot.ca.gov>  
a.dot.gov>

To:  
cc:  
Subject: COFCG FTIP

Amendment No. 5

01/14/2003 02:40 PM

Hi Abhijit,

We noticed that this amendment was submitted for a project that is not included in COFCG's current FTIP. COFCG says that the project was originally programmed in their 2000 FTIP (MPO ID 000131). Furthermore, funds have already been obligated for the project. FMIS shows that \$106,200 in fed. money, and \$54,200 in state/local money was obligated in 09/2001.

We're wondering why this amendment is necessary as any changes to the project post-obligation would be beyond planning, and more in the arena of Caltrans Local Assistance, as with change orders, etc. Is there something else happening here?

Leigh